Plants Whose Flowers are Utilized by Adults of *Pepsis grossa* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) as a Source of Nectar

Fred Punzo

Department of Biology, Box 5F, University of Tampa, 401 W. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, Florida 33606-1490, USA; email: fpunzo@ut.edu

Abstract.—The spider wasp, *Pepsis grossa* Fabricius is a common species of wasp found in the Chihuahuan Desert. This study was conducted in Big Bend Ranch State Park (Brewster Co., Texas) and reports on flowers of various plant species used by adults of this wasp as a source of nectar. Although nectar was obtained from the flowers from a total of 19 plant species, four of those species (milkweed: *Ascepias texana* and *A. sperryi*; Mexican Buckeye: *Ungadia specisosa*; Honey Mesquite: *Prosopis glaudulosa*) accounted for 73.6% of all plants utilized. The flowers from these plants were all characterized by short corolla tube lengths (<3.5 mm). At this site, *P. grossa* exhibited a narrow trophic niche breadth (Levin's index: B = 0.2816). Other common species of plants whose flowers were not visited by these wasps are also identified.

Tarantula hawk wasps of the genus Pepsis (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae: Pepsinae: Pepsini) are large, long-legged wasps, and are conspicuous components of the arthropod fauna of desert regions of the southwestern United States and Mexico (Punzo 1994a, 2000). The genus Pepsis is found in North and South America, and the West Indies, ranging from Utah (latitude 42 N) to Argentina (45 S) (Hurd 1952, Vardy 2002). Although females of these wasps typically hunt and paralyze large spiders as a source of food for their carnivorous larvae (Petrunkevitch 1926, Schmidt 2004), the adults are nectivorous (Williams 1956, Punzo 1994b).

Although there have been some studies on the ecology (Cazier and Mortenson 1964, Field 1992, Punzo 2005), territoriality (Alcock 1979, 2000), hunting behavior (Petrunkevitch 1926, Cazier and Mortenson 1964, Punzo and Garman 1989, Punzo 1994b), neurochemistry (Punzo 1990, 1991), venom chemistry (Schmidt 1990, 2004), morphology of venom glands (Schoeters et al. 1997), and physiology (Punzo 1990) of *Pepsis* wasps, there is a paucity of

information available on the natural history of many species (Punzo 2005).

These wasps are known to obtain nectar from the flowers of a variety of plants (Evans and West-Eberhard 1970, Punzo 2000). However, little information exists on the specific range of plants utilized by any Pepsis wasp (Punzo 2005). In desert regions, where ambient temperatures may exceed 43 C during late spring and summer months, female wasps often fly over considerable distances during daylight hours, searching for a suitable host (Vardy 2002, Punzo 2005). Male wasps engage in energetically costly activities as well, including an aggressive aerial defense of territories against intruders (Alcock and Bailey 1997). Insect flight is energetically costly under any circumstance. Thus, it is essential that adult wasps obtain required nutrients in order to survive and reproduce. In addition, because any specific type of plant tissue or nectar may lack some essential dietary requirement, it is only through careful selection of particular plants that an animal can obtain a balanced diet.

The purpose of this study was to identify the species of plants (flowers) visited by adults of *Pepsis grossa* (Fabricius 1798), formerly described as *Pepsis formosa formosa* (Say), in order to obtain nectar. The study was conducted in Big Bend Ranch State Park located in Trans Pecos Texas.

DESCRIPTION OF GENERAL STUDY AREA

Big Bend Ranch State Park (BBRSP, Presidio Co., Texas) lies within the northern region of the Chihuahuan Desert. It is bordered to the west by the Rio Grande River (RGR), and climatic conditions range from semi-arid to arid (Parent 1996, Punzo 2000). Mean monthly air temperatures range from 5.1 C in January to 33.4 C in July, with low and high temperatures of -10.7 and 45.6°C, respectively (U.S. Dept. of Interior, 2002). Annual rainfall is typically between 14.6-29.7 cm, depending on location and altitude, with 70-80% occurring from May through October (Medellin-Leal 1992). A wide range of topographic diversity exists within the Park, including igneous rocks, gypsum formations, limestone deposits that provide a variety of substrates including alluvial fans, moutain ridges, canyons, saline playas, gypsum flats, siliceous and gypsum dunes, finetextured basins, and freshwater seeps and springs (Milford 1991), all supporting a diverse plant fauna with distinct vegetative zones (Powell 1988).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

I conducted field studies within the BBRSP in 2003, from late March through September, when plants of this region have well developed flowers. The study site was an area located within a 3.0 km radius of Grassy Banks (29°17′30″ N, 103°55′04″ W; elevation: 814 m) which is located directly off State Road 170, 6.76 km NW of Lajitas, Texas. The western edge of the site is bordered by the RGR. The soils along the canyon floor are a mixture of sand, gravel and adobe, and support a predominantly

sotol-lechuguilla plant community. The dominant vegetation includes lechuguilla (Agave lechuguilla), smooth sotol (Dasylirion leiophyllum), ocotillo (Fouquieria splendens), mesquites (Prosopis spp.), purple sage (Leucophyllum frutescens), creosote (Larrea divaricata), false agave (Hechtia texensis), tarbrush (Flourensia cernua), catclaw acacia (Acacia berlandieri), prickly pear cacti (Opuntia spp.), yuccas (Yucca spp.), and scattered clumps of milkweed (Ascepias sperryi) and grasses, including chino gramma (Bouteloua breviseta), fluffgrass (Erioneuron pulchellum), and beargrass (Nolina erumpens). Numerous large rocks and boulders are scattered along the canyon floor, and the canyon walls are comprised mainly of sandstone, limestone, and igneous rock.

Pepsis grossa (Fabricius) is the most common Pepsis wasp at this location (Punzo 2000). Males can be observed in flight either moving between flowers or defending territories, feeding at flowers, or resting on various bushes and plants. Females are readily visible, flying in search of hosts or food plants, or walking rapidly over the ground surface exploring various crevices and burrows for suitable spiders.

Twenty square-shaped transects were established within the study site. The dimension of each transect was 54 m². With the aid of several field assistants, I walked through each transect in a linear fashion, following paths delineated by small yellow cords placed along the ground in an east-west direction, and separated by a distance of 6 m. Adult wasps were collected using sweep nets. We recorded the following data for each wasp observed and collected: (1) time of collection (Central Standard Time, CST); (2) sex; (3) if feeding, the species of plant (flower) being utilized; (4) type of plant for wasps observed resting on vegetation; (5) for flowers at which wasps were observed feeding, flowers were collected and length of the corolla tube was measured to the

Table 1. Species of plants whose flowers were used as a source of nectar (percent utilization) by adults of *Pepsis grossa* at a study site (Grassy Banks) located within Big Bend Ranch State Park, Brewster Co, Texas, during 2003. Data expressed as percentage utilization of 19 food resources. Data pooled for males (n = 504) and females (n = 488). Scientific and common names of plants based on Powell (1988). B = Levin's measure of trophic niche breadth.

Plant species	Mean corolla length (mm) (± SE)	Percent utilization
Ascepias texana Torrey (Texas Milkweed)	3.45 (0.41)	28.4
A. sperryi Woods (Sperry Milkweed)	3.55 (0.23)	17.9
Ungadia speciosa Endler (Mexican Buckeye)	2.95 (0.23)	16.1
Prosopis glandulosa Benson (Honey Mesquite)	3.35 (0.28)	11.2
P. pubescens Gray (Screwbean Mesquite)	4.29 (0.18)	3.5
Lycium pallidum Correll (Pale Wolfberry)	5.04 (0.31)	1.7
Diospyros texana Scheele (Texas Persimmon)	5.23 (2.45)	3.6
Agave lechuguilla Torrey (Lechuguilla)	6.02 (2.06)	3.1
Yucca treculeana Correll (Spanish Dagger)	6.78 (3.03)	3.1
Y. rostrata Engelman (Beaked Yucca)	6.02 (1.87)	0.6
Dasylirion texanum Scheele (Texas Sotol)	5.75 (1.93)	1.8
D. leiophyllum Engelman (Desert Candle)	7.25 (2.11)	0.5
Opuntia imbricata Hawes (Cane Cholla)	7.43 (2.04)	2.7
O. schotti Engelman (Dog Cholla)	8.02 (2.18)	1.6
O. phaeacantha Engelman (Purple-fruited Pricklypear)	7.47 (1.99)	0.6
Salvia greggi Gray (Autumn Sage)	4.48 (0.32)	1.8
Forestiera angustifolia Torrey (Desert Olive)	8.04 (1.97)	1.1
Nolina erumpens Torrey (Bear grass)	4.88 (0.67)	0.3
Senecio douglasii Benson (Groundsel)	7.79 (1.08)	0.1
		B = 0.2816

nearest mm using a portable Unitron dissecting microscope fitted with an ocular measuring grid; (5) species of plants where wasps were not observed to visit flowers. Only those wasps that extended their tongues into the corolla, or that were observed to enter the corolla tube of flowers with their entire head capsules (and in some cases, part of the thorax as well) and remain there for at least 20 sec were considered to be in the act of feeding. Each of these wasps was collected, frozen on dry ice, and taken back to the laboratory to confirm feeding by dissecting the gut and analyzing gut contents.

Trophic niche breadth was determined using the standarized Levin's index (*B*) (Levins 1968): $B = I / \sum p_j^2$, where p_j represents the proportion of individuals (percent utilization) found on a particular resource (plant species–flower). Values for this measure can range from 0 (narrowest trophic niche: all resources fall under one resource category) to 1.0 (resources represented equally in all categories).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During daylight hours, male and female wasps were observed resting on shaded areas of leaves or branches of cottonwood (Populus fremontii), willows (Salix taxifolia and S. interior), walnut (Juglans microcarpa), oak (Quercus oblongifolia), mesquite (Prosopis pubescens and P. glandulosa), persimmon (Diospyros texana), milkweed (Ascepias speciosa and A. speryii), Mexican buckeye (Ugnadia speciosa), leatherstem (Jatropha dioca), and soapberry (Sapindus saponaria). These same trees were used as perch sites by males during April and May, when activities associated with territorial defense and breeding are most intense (Cazier and Mortenson 1964, Punzo 2000, 2005).

The species of plants whose flowers were used as a source of nectar by adults of P. grossa are listed in Table 1. This represents the first detailed list of specific food plants for a wasp in this genus. Because no significant differences were found between males (n = 504) and

Table 2. Species of plants common at the Grassy Banks study site in Big Bend Ranch State Park whose flowers were not observed to be visited and used as sources of nectar by adult males or females of *Pepsis grossa* as sources of nectar. Scientific and common names of plants based on Powell (1988). Data on corolla tube length (mm) expressed as means (\pm SE); N = number of each plant species.

Species	N	Corolla tube length (mm)
Aloysia gratissima Tronc. (Whitebrush)	617	10.05 (1.74)
Amsonia longiflora Torr. (Bluestar)	448	9.02 (1.87)
Cowania ericifolia Torr. (Heath Cliff Rose)	194	7.79 (1.21)
Croton doicus Cav. (Grassland Croton)	847	5.81 (0.44)
Euphorbia antisyphilitica Zucc. (Candelilla)	524	5.06 (1.12)
Fallugia paradoxa Endl. (Apache Plume)	456	8.05 (1.89)
Fouquieria splendens Engelm. (Ocotillo)	905	13.84 (4.02)
Hibiscus coulteri Harv. (Desert Rosemallow)	389	7.52 (1.09)
Justica warnockii Turner (Warnock Justica)	197	5.94 (0.68)
Krameria glandulosa Torr. (Range Krameria)	295	4.35 (0.38)
Lantana macropoda Torr. (Vinylleaf Lantane)	428	13.21 (2.05)
Mendora longiflora Gray (Showy Mendora)	236	14.56 (2.77)
Leucophyllum frutescens Berl. (Purple Sage)	683	11.93 (2.97)
Selinocarpus parvifolias Standl. (Little Moonpod)	379	16.84 (5.25)
Senna wislizenii Gray (Senna)	257	4.76 (0.46)

females (n = 488) (Chi square test: $X^2 = 1.06$, P > 0.06), data in Table 1 are pooled for both sexes. Results indicate that at this study site, adults of P. grossa utilize the flowers of 4 out of 19 plant species considerably more frequently than the others, which is also reflected in the value obtained for Levin's index. It should also be pointed out that flowers of the most frequently used species have the shortest corolla lengths. These four species accounted for 73.6% of the plants whose flowers provided these wasps with nectar.

In contrast, wasps were never observed feeding from the flowers of other plant species that were commonly found at this site (Table 2). Ten of these 15 species have flowers whose corolla lengths are in excess of 7 mm, and 6 have values greater than 10 mm. In view of this, as well as from the data in Table 1 on the four most-utilized plant species, these results suggest that adults of *P. grossa* prefer flowers with short corolla lengths. However, a number of species listed in Table 1 and 2, with corolla lengths less than 6 mm, were visited infrequently or not at all by these wasps, suggesting that other properties, in addi-

tion to corolla length, may influence suitability of flowers as a food source.

According to a few previous reports, Pepsis wasps from the southwestern United States have been observed visiting the flowers of a number of families of suffrutescent and woody flowering plants (Lincecum 1867, Hurd 1948), although no systematic attempt was made to identify the species of possible food source plants. Lincecum (1867) was the first to observe an apparent preference of these wasps for flowers of milkweed plants of the genus Ascepias, which is in agreement with the results of this study. In addition, these wasps play an important role in the pollination of milkweed plants (Hurd 1948).

In conclusion, the relatively narrow trophic niche breadth exhibited by adults of *P. grossa*, coupled with the fact that flowers from all of the plants listed in Tables 1 and 2 are common throughout the spring and early summer at this study site, indicates a preference for the flowers of *Asclepias* species and *Prosopis glandulosa*. It is interesting to note that flowers of another mesquite species, *P. pubescens*, are visited far less frequently than those of *P*.

glandulosa, even though these plants are often found in close proximity. These wasps may be using species-specific visual, olfactory and/or gustatory cues to make decisions as to which flowers to feed on. Other species of nectivorous insects, including various species of butterflies and bees, are known to use combinations of these types of cues to choose sources of nectar (Heinrich 1979, Stone 1994).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank J. Bottrell, K. Smart, L. Ludwig, P. Trepekan, and B. Cummins for assistance in observing wasps and recording data in the field, A. Simmons, G. Broad, S. Cameron, and anonymous reviewers for commenting on an earlier draft of the manuscript, and the University of Tampa for providing me with financial support (Faculty Development Grant) for this project. Field studies were conducted with permission from the Texas Dept. of Parks and Wildlife (Permit #: 41-03).

LITERATURE CITED

- Alcock, J. 1979. The behavioral consequences of size variation among males of the territiorial wasp, *Hemipepsis ustulata* (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). *Behaviour* 71: 322–335.
- ——, 2000. Possible causes of variation in territory tenure in a lekking pompilid wasp (*Hemipepsis* ustulata) (Hymenoptera). Journal of Insect Beliavior 13: 439–453.
- ——, and W. J. Bailey. 1997. Success in territorial defense by male tarantula hawk wasps *Hemipepsis ustulata*: the role of residency. *Ecological Entonology* 22: 377–383.
- Cazier, M. A., and M. Mortenson. 1964. Bionomical observations on tarantula hawks and their prey (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae): Pepsis. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 57: 533–541.
- Evans, H. E., and M. J. West-Eberhard. 1970. *The Wasps*. University of Michigan Press; Ann Arbor, Michigan. vii + 265 pp.
- Field, J. 1992. Guild structure in solitary spiderhunting wasps (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) compared with null model predictions. *Ecological Entomology* 17: 198–208.
- Heinrich, B. 1979. *Bumblebee Economics*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. x + 381 pp.
- Hurd, P. D. 1948. Systematics of the California species of the genus *Pepsis* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). *University of California Publications* in Entomology 8: 123–150.

- ——, 1952. Revision of the Nearctic species of the pompilid genus *Pepsis* (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 98: 260–234.
- Levins, R. 1968. Evolution in Changing Environments: Some Theoretical Explanations. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey. xi + 418 pp.
- Lincecum, G. 1867. The tarantula killers of Texas. *American Naturalist* 1: 137–141.
- Medellin-Leal, F. 1992. The Chihuahuan Desert. pp. 321–382 in: G. L. Bender ed. *Reference Handbook on the Deserts of North America*. Greenwood Press, Westport, Connecticut.
- Milford, M. H. 1991. *Introduction to Soils and Soil Science*. Kendall-Hunt, Duquesne, Iowa. vii + 378 pp.
- Parent, L. 1996. Big Bend National Park. Falcon Publishing, Inc., Helena, Montana. viii + 171 pp.
- Petrunkevitch, A. 1926. Tarantula versus tarantula hawk: a study in instinct. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 45: 367–397.
- Powell, A. M. 1988. *Trees and Shrubs of Trans-Pecos Texas*. Big Bend Natural History Association, Big Bend, Texas. 536 pp.
- Punzo, F. 1990. The hemolymph composition and neurochemistry of the spider wasp, *Pepsis* formosa (Say) (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 96A: 341– 345.
- ——, 1991. Neurochemical events associated with learning and hunting behavior in the spider wasp, *Pepsis formosa* (Hymenpptera: Pompilidae). *Florida Scientist* 54: 51–61.
- —, 1994a. The biology of the spider wasp, *Pepsis thisbe* (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) from Trans Pecos Texas. I. Adult morphometrics, larval development and the ontogeny of larval feeding patterns. *Psyche* 10: 229–241.
- -----, 1994b. The biology of the spider wasp, *Pepsis thisbe* (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) from Trans pecos Texas. II. Temporal patterns of activity and hunting behavior with special reference to the effects of experience. *Psyche* 101: 243–256.
- ——, 2000. Desert Arthropods: Life History Variations. Springer; Heidelberg, Germany. xi + 301 pp.
- ——, 2005. Studies on the natural history, ecology and behavior of *Pepsis cerberus* and *Pepsis mexicana* (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) from Big Bend National Park, Texas. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* 113: 84–95.
- —, and B. Garman. 1989. Effects of encounter experience on the hunting behavior of the spider wasp, *Pepsis formosa* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). *Southwestern Naturalist* 34: 513–518.
- Schmidt, J. O. 1990. Hymenptera venoms: striving toward the ultimate defense against Vertebrates. pp. 387–419 in: Evans, H. and J. O. Schmidt, eds. Insect Defense: Adaptations and Strategies of Prey and

- Predators. State University of New York Press, Albany, New York.
- ——, 2004. Venom and the good life in tarantula hawks (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae): how to eat, not be eaten, and live long. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 77: 402–413.
- Schoeters, E., J. O. Schmidt, and J. Billen. 1997. Venom gland morphology in *Pepsis pallidolimbata pallidolimbata* and biological use and activity of *Pepsis* venom. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 75: 1014–1019.
- Stone, G. N. 1994. Activity patterns of females of the bee *Anthophora plumipes* in relation to tempera-

- ture, nectar supplies and body size. *Ecological Entomology* 19: 177–189.
- U. S. Department of Interior. 2002. Division of Publications, National Park Service, National Park handbook, No. 119, Washington, D.C., 209 pp.
- Vardy, C. R. 2002. The New World tarantula-hawk genus *Pepsis* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). Part 2. The *P. grossa* to *P. deaurata* groups. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* 338: 1–135.
- Williams, F. X. 1956. Life history studies of *Pepsis* and Hemipepsis wasps in California (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 49: 447–466.